UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AM	MERICA,)	
	Plaintiff,)	
VS.	:	,	Case No.
JOSHUA KAIN SMITH,)	13-00286-01-CR-W-GAF
	Defendant.))	

TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE GARY A. FENNER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
APRIL 20, 2015
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

MS. TERESA A. MOORE
Assistant United States Attorney
Charles Evans Whittaker Courthouse
400 East Ninth Street, Floor 5
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

MS. RONNA HOLLOMAN-HUGHES Assistant Federal Public Defender 818 Grand Avenue, Suite 300 Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer

KATHERINE A. CALVERT, RMR, CRR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER CHARLES EVANS WHITTAKER COURTHOUSE 400 EAST NINTH STREET KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64106

1 APRIL 20, 2015 2 THE COURT: Ready, Ms. Moore? 3 MS. MOORE: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Hughes, are you ready? 5 MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: Ms. Hughes, you've reviewed the 7 presentence report with Mr. Smith; is that correct? 8 That's correct, Your Honor. MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: 9 THE COURT: And you don't have any reason to object 10 to any of the information? 11 MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 As reflected in Mr. Smith's presentence report, under the United States Sentencing Guidelines, his total 14 15 offense level is 39. His criminal history category is IV. 16 guidelines recommend consideration of a range from 360 months 17 to life. There is a statutory minimum of 15 years and a 18 maximum of life on Counts 1 and 2 each. 19 The parties have entered into a plea agreement which 20 is a binding plea agreement where the parties request a 21 sentence of 240 months. Based upon my review of the 22 information in the presentence report, I'm inclined to accept 23 the agreed sentence between the parties finding that to be 24 reasonable and appropriate given the agreement of the parties

and all of the statutory factors for consideration under 18

25

U.S.C., Section 3553.

Ms. Holloman-Hughes, would you like to speak any further on any of these matters?

MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: No, Your Honor. I would just like to request placement.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: Mr. Smith actually has his

GED. He did fairly well on it so he applied for and was

accepted to Westlawn Institute of Marine Technology. They

actually have courses for inmates. He needs access to a

computer with AutoCAD and he's researched where that would be,

and so one of the places that he could go and be placed into

that program would be Petersburg, Virginia. He would like the

Court to recommend that. However, his mother and children are

in Florida, so he would actually rather be closer to them, and

the placement for Florida would be Marianna, Florida, Your

Honor. So we ask the Court to consider Marianna -- recommend

Marianna first, then Petersburg, Virginia, to the BOP second.

THE COURT: All right. I will make those recommendations. And if you would speak with Ms. Moore when we're done to make sure that she has the correct names of the institutions that you're requesting.

MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Smith, is there anything you would like to say this afternoon before I finally determine your

sentence?

2.0

2.4

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: All right.

THE DEFENDANT: I would just like to say that I take full accountability for my actions and that I hope I can change and become a productive member of society by the time I get out.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

Ms. Moore, anything from you?

MS. MOORE: Yes, Your Honor, the government does have a couple things we would like to request of the Court as set out in the presentence investigation. Victim No. 1 has requested restitution, and the government does have some information, some documents regarding that restitution that I have provided defense counsel and have marked as Government's Exhibit No. 1 that I would like to present to the Court. It's a letter from the victim's therapist, it's her 2011 information about her tax return, some information about when she worked at Tan-Tar-A prior to leaving for Florida with the defendant, and the victim has also indicated, and I think it's been set out at least somewhat in the presentence investigation, that the defendant traded the victim's car for another vehicle which she did not then retain when she fled Florida and got away from the defendant.

So with all that put together, the victim is

- requesting 23 thousand, I believe, 4 hundred 60 dollars in restitution, and I have prepared a proposed restitution order that I had sent to the Court earlier today asking for restitution in that amount.
- In addition, Victim No. 1 has provided the government with a victim impact statement. She had contemplated being here today and had decided that it was too stressful for her and has asked that I read this to the Court or I can -- Ms. Holloman-Hughes already has a copy of it -- or I can also provide it to the Court to read, if you like.
- THE COURT: All right. If you will provide it to me, I'll read it.
- MS. MOORE: Okay. And I did not mark it as an exhibit.
 - THE COURT: Ms. Holloman-Hughes, do you have any objection to Exhibit 1?
- MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: No, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. MOORE: And then I would just let the Court and counsel know, government does request a life term of supervised release to follow Mr. Smith's incarceration in the Bureau of Prisons, and I would request a special condition of that supervised release that the defendant have no contact with Victim No. 1 or Victim No. 2; and, in addition, they have no contact with their direct family members.

THE COURT: Were the contact terms proposed in the special conditions of the presentence report, or do you know, Ms. Moore?

MS. MOORE: They are not and I would -- I know that the victims would appreciate that certainly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let me read this statement.

All right. Anything further from you, Ms. Hughes?

MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. The victim's statement is pretty compelling in terms of the statutory considerations of sentencing, speaking to the nature and circumstances of the offense, which were horrific, and the defendant's characteristics, exhibits no concern for the victim whatsoever, taking all that into consideration and the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law, provide just punishment, afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, and protect the public from future crimes, as well as provide the defendant with needed correctional treatment, which obviously the defendant is in need of some treatment, as I said before, I accept the agreed sentence between the parties of 240 months in custody of the Bureau of Prisons of Counts 1 and 2 with those counts to run concurrently.

And upon the defendant's release, I'm going to order he be placed on supervised release for lifetime. I'm going to

order the defendant comply with all of the mandatory and 1 2 special -- normal conditions for supervision that have been adopted by this court as well as the special conditions listed 3 4 in Part D of the presentence report. And, additionally, the special condition that the defendant have no contact with 6 either of the victims in this case or anyone who is a direct family member of either of those victims. 8 And, Ms. Holloman-Hughes, I take it you've reviewed 9 the conditions in Part D of the presentence report? 10 MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: I find that the defendant does not have 12 the ability to pay a fine so I waive the imposition of any 1.3 fine, but he is ordered to pay a special assessment in the 14 amount of \$200, which is due immediately. 15 The defendant is also ordered to pay restitution in 16 the amount of \$23,406 to Andrea Caddell pursuant to the written 17 order that I am executing setting forth the terms and 18 conditions of that order of restitution. 19 Do you have something on that? 20 MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: Not restitution, Your Honor, 21 but my client would like the Court to order the RDAP program. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Smith. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 2.4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. You said Mr. Smith would

25

like the RDAP program?

MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: Yes.

2.4

THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. I misunderstood you.

I will recommend to the Bureau of Prisons, along with the recommendation for placement, that Mr. Smith be accepted to the bureau's 500-hour drug treatment, RDAP program.

And, finally, Mr. Smith, I'm going to order you be retained in custody for service of the sentence imposed.

As I'm sure you know, there was a waiver of your right to appeal under the terms of your binding plea agreement. Nonetheless, if you believe there is a basis for appeal that was not waived, you need to know that you have only 14 days after the day the sentence is imposed to file a notice of your intent to appeal. If you do not file that notice of intent to appeal within 14 days of today, your right to appeal will be waived, regardless of whether it's a matter addressed under the terms of your plea agreement or otherwise.

And my clerk who is seated here just to my left has a form that she will give you that you can use to file that notice of intent to appeal if it's something you wish to pursue.

Anything further from you, Ms. Moore?

MS. MOORE: Yes, Your Honor. Pursuant to the plea agreement, the government dismisses Counts 3 through 5 of the amended indictment.

THE COURT: Those counts are dismissed at the

```
government's request.
 1
                Ms. Holloman-Hughes?
 2
                MS. HOLLOMAN-HUGHES: No, sir, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Thank you all.
 4
 5
                (Adjournment)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

I, Katherine A. Calvert, Federal Official Court
Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the
Western District of Missouri, do hereby certify that the
foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
stenographically reported proceedings in UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. JOSHUA KAIN SMITH, Defendant, No.
13-00286-01-CR-W-GAF.

Dated this 28th day of May 2015.

KATHERINE A. CALVERT, RMR, CRR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER